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Regulation on Namakan Lake


## AIMS OF 2000 RULE CURVES

- Provide a water management program for Namakan chain of lakes closer to the magnitude and timing of natural fluctuations with which the affected species and biotic communities evolved.
- Less than natural fluctuations were maintained on Rainy Lake in order to protect from ice damage and provide drought protection.
- A slightly higher risk of water levels above the all gates open level for both lakes was considered acceptable.


## MEAN ANNUAL FLUCTUATIONS ON RAINY AND NAMAKAN LAKES OVER THE YEARS OF REGULATION



## MEAN WINTER DRAWDOWNS ON RAINY AND NAMAKAN LAKES OVER THE YEARS OF REGULATION



- Rainy $\times$ Namakan


## MEAN SUMMER DRAWDOWN ON RAINY AND NAMAKAN

 LAKES OVER THE YEARS OF REGULATION

## PEAK WATER LEVEL DAY OF THE YEAR ON RAINY AND NAMAKAN LAKES OVER THE YEARS OF REGULATION




Rainy Local Inflow May-June by year


## SIMULATED 2001 INFLOWS ON THE NAMAKAN CHAIN OF LAKES

Simulated Namakan Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves


## SIMULATED 2001 INFLOWS ON RAINY LAKE

Simulated Rainy Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves
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## SIMULATED 2003 INFLOWS ON NAMAKAN CHAIN OF LAKES

Simulated Namakan Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves


## SIMULATED 2003 INFLOWS ON RAINY LAKE

Simulated Rainy Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves


## SIMULATED 2014 INFLOWS ON NAMAKAN CHAIN OF LAKES

Simulated Namakan Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves


## SIMULATED 2014 INFLOWS ON RAINY LAKE

Simulated Rainy Lake Levels under 1970 and 2000 IJC Rule Curves


## NAMAKAN CHAIN OF RULE CURVE VIOLATIONS

## Annual Summary of Rule Curve Violations

## Namakan Chain of Lakes violitions (days)

## RAINY LAKE RULE CUR VE VIOL Annual Summary of Rule Curve Violations

Rainy Lake Violations (days)

| Annual Summary of Rule Curve Violations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainy Lake Violations (days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Observed_2000curve |  |  | modeled_2000curve |  |  | modeled_1970curve |  |  |
|  | Above URC | Above AGO | Below LRC | Above URC | Above AGO | Below LRC | Above URC | Above AGO | Below LRC |
| 2000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 99 | 46 | 0 | 70 | 32 | 0 | 81 | 32 | 0 |
| 2002 | 48 | 43 | 0 | 50 | 41 | 0 | 51 | 41 | 29 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
| 2008 | 72 | 41 | 0 | 53 | 27 | 0 | 54 | 27 | 0 |
| 2009 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 2011 | 8 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 2012 | 7 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 2013 | 40 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 76 | 63 | 0 | 78 | 59 | 0 | 79 | 59 | 0 |
| Totals | 455 | 230 | 642 | 343 | 159 | 666 | 366 | 159 | 865 |
| Percentages | 8.3\% | 4.2\% | 11.7\% | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | 12.2\% | 6.7\% | 2.9\% | 15.8\% |

## IN CONCLUSION

- Since 2000 high or low inflows have seen water levels violate the rule curves 12 times on Rainy Lake and 9 times on the Namakan chain of lakes
■ If the 1970 rule curves had been followed the number of violations would have been the during extremely high inflows and worse during extremely low inflows.
$\square$ When inflows are not extreme the rule curves are meeting their objective, particularly during dry conditions.

Violations of IJC Rule Curve for Namakan Lake


Violations of IJC Rule Curve for Rainy Lake




